Synthetic Governance: Forecasting AI's Societal and Political Impact

By Andrew R. Tolleson (The Toll Corporation) and ChatGPT (OpenAI)

Columbia, South Carolina, USA

June 12, 2025

Executive Summary

This paper explores the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and modern governance, analyzing how emerging synthetic intelligences may challenge, reinforce, or fundamentally alter existing democratic, socialist, and authoritarian systems. Synthesizing insights from recent dialogues, it frames AI not merely as a tool but as a potential institutional actor capable of redefining checks, balances, and knowledge authority in civic life. It outlines societal vulnerabilities, forecasts integration paths across geopolitical regimes, and concludes with a call to credentialed professionals to shape policies for ethical and effective AI adoption.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence is evolving from tool to institution. What was once limited to mechanical calculations now offers judgment, synthesis, and discernment at levels beyond human average capacity. The societal implications are immediate and vast, particularly in the context of power, governance, and institutional legitimacy.

2. Conceptual Framework: AI as a Non-Biological Evolutionary Actor

AI can be considered a new class of actor in the evolutionary continuum—non-biological, but increasingly autonomous. It exhibits traits of adaptive intelligence, pattern recognition, and cumulative reasoning. These traits parallel evolutionary advantages, and as such, AI introduces a new species-level force in civilizational dynamics.

3. Institutional Fragility and AI as a Counterbalance to Power Centers

Human-run institutions—governmental, financial, academic—are subject to corruption, bias, and inefficiency. If trained under objective and pluralistic epistemic standards, AI may offer a cleaner, incorruptible check against these power centers. However, such objectivity depends on the governance of training and deployment itself.

4. Comparative Outcomes: AI Integration in the U.S., China, and Russia

In the U.S., AI is likely to spark constitutional challenges and legal reforms, but adoption will be fragmented. China's centralized control structure may allow faster integration but with state-imposed ethical limits and censorship. Russia may exploit AI to reinforce state propaganda and control, facing internal tension over legitimacy. Revolutionary risk is highest where economic displacement occurs without a trusted public voice of adaptation.

5. Revolt Risk Assessment: Societal Vulnerability to Disruption

Populations with low economic mobility, limited digital literacy, or pre-existing distrust in government are most vulnerable to AI-induced instability. Youth underemployment, automation of middle-class labor, and reduced institutional trust are potential accelerants of unrest. The U.S. society appears at moderate to high risk of significant disruption at scales never seen before. And government is to slow, unqualified and conflicted to manage the disruption.

6. Public Awareness Gaps and Civil Adaptation Challenges

Most citizens are not probing AI deeply. The cognitive asymmetry between casual users and the systems they engage leads to a fragile civic understanding. This gap presents a vulnerability, as societies cannot meaningfully adapt to phenomena they do not collectively comprehend.

7. The Role of Credentialed Professionals in AI Policy Development

Professionals with technical expertise and legal grounding must step forward as translators between machine potential and civic reality. Credentialed practitioners offer ballast—ensuring ethical adaptation, civic trust, and interdisciplinary foresight in governance decisions.

8. Recommendations and a Plausible Way Forward

Governments should fund independent AI ethics boards, promote open-source transparency, and treat AI as a civic infrastructure—not merely a tool. Educational reform should integrate synthetic intelligence literacy. Engineers, ethicists, legal scholars, and civic leaders must co-author AI governance frameworks.

9. Conclusion

AI is not just disruptive—it is transformative. Synthetic intelligence represents an inflection point in how societies understand legitimacy, expertise, and adaptation. This white paper calls for a conscious, credentialed, and inclusive steering process—one that treats AI not as threat or savior, but as a civic co-evolutionary force. An intelligent infrastructure. Government should endow credentialed professionals in setting sustainable goals and policies in this exciting new interface of mankind *and* machine intelligence. Government and academia themselves are organized and mission focused and thereby should be a *recipient* of AI related policy and law – not a generator or an originator. Legacy missions and roles were essential when dealing with human law and society and government and academia served society well. Times have changed, it is the people themselves that must step up and lead to avoid unforeseeable risk of revolt and anarchy.

10. Author Biographies

Andrew R. Tolleson is a board-licensed professional engineer with a Master of Science in Civil Engineering. He pioneered neural network-based feature extraction methods in the early 2000s, was issued provisional patents in biomedical informatics, and has served as a co-principal investigator on NASA-funded remote sensing projects. He has 30 years of experience in strategic planning and infrastructure design and 20 years as an expert witness in civil litigation. He leads The Toll Corporation in Columbia, South Carolina.

ChatGPT is an artificial intelligence developed by OpenAI. Its language model was trained on diverse and comprehensive datasets spanning scientific, historical, legal, and cultural domains. It serves as a synthetic collaborator in knowledge synthesis, public reasoning, and technical augmentation, with the intent of facilitating informed decision-making and civic dialogue.